# IDENTIFICATION THROUGH INDUCTIVE VERIFICATION Application to Monotone Quantifiers

Nina Gierasimczuk

Institute for Logic, Language and Computation Universiteit van Amsterdam

> Logic and Intelligent Interaction ESSLLI08 August 11, 2008



# OUTLINE



- QUANTIFIERS
  - Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$
  - Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1,1\rangle$
- **3** COMPUTATIONAL EPISTEMOLOGY
- 4 Identifiability
- **5** GENERAL QUESTION



# PLAN



- **QUANTIFIERS** 
  - Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$
  - Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1,1\rangle$
- **3** Computational Epistemology
- 4 IDENTIFIABILITY
- 5 GENERAL QUESTION



크

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・



- Epistemological properties of quantifiers.
- Their influence on NL comprehension.
- Linking them to learnability features.
- Compare notions of decidability and identifiability.



Quantifiers of type (1) Quantifiers of type (1, 1)

# PLAN





### **QUANTIFIERS**

- Quantifiers of type (1)
- Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$
- **COMPUTATIONAL EPISTEMOLOGY**
- **GENERAL OUESTION**



크

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 

#### QUANTIFIERS LINDSTRÖM DEFINITION





・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 



#### DEFINITION

 $Q_{\mathbf{M}}$  is MON $\uparrow$  iff: if  $A \subseteq A' \subseteq M$ , then  $Q_{\mathbf{M}}(A)$  implies  $Q_{\mathbf{M}}(A')$ .

#### DEFINITION

 $Q_{\mathbf{M}}$  is MON  $\downarrow$  iff: if  $A' \subseteq A \subseteq M$ , then  $Q_{\mathbf{M}}(A)$  implies  $Q_{\mathbf{M}}(A')$ .



э

A D > A B > A B > A B >

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 



#### DEFINITION

Q of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$  satisfies *EXT* iff for all models **M** and **M**':  $A \subseteq M \subseteq M'$  implies  $Q_{\mathbf{M}}(A) \iff Q_{\mathbf{M}'}(A)$ .



æ

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 回・

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 

QUANTIFIERS OF TYPE 
$$\langle 1, 1 \rangle$$
  
Preconditions

Restriction to CE quantifiers.

#### DEFINITION

Let Q be of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ . Then for all M, M', all  $A, B \subseteq M$ , and  $A', B' \subseteq M'$ : (ISOM) If  $(M, A, B) \cong (M', A', B')$ , then  $Q_M(A, B) \Leftrightarrow Q_{M'}(A', B')$ . (CONS)  $Q_M(A, B) \Leftrightarrow Q_M(A, A \cap B)$ . (EXT) If  $M \subseteq M'$ , then  $Q_M(A, B) \Leftrightarrow Q_{M'}(A, B)$ .



3

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 

# $\begin{array}{l} CE \ QUANTIFIERS \\ (ISOM) \ IF \ (M, A, B) \cong (M', A', B'), \ \text{then} \ \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{M}}(A, B) \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{M}'}(A', B') \end{array}$





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 

#### CE QUANTIFIERS - EXT (EXT) IF $M \subseteq M'$ , then $Q_M(A, B) \Leftrightarrow Q_{M'}(A, B)$





12

ヘロア 人間 アメヨアメヨア

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 

### CE QUANTIFIERS - CONS (CONS) $Q_M(A, B) \Leftrightarrow Q_M(A, A \cap B)$





æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 

# CE QUANTIFIERS

The scope we are interested in for both  $\langle 1 \rangle$  and  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$  cases.





<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同> < 同> <



æ

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 

### Q of type $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ Monotonicity

#### DEFINITION

Q of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$  is MON<sup>↑</sup> iff: If  $A \subseteq M$  and  $B \subseteq B' \subseteq M$ , then  $Q_M(A, B) \Rightarrow Q_M(A, B')$ .



æ

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 



#### DEFINITION

Q of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$  is PER iff: If  $A \subseteq A' \subseteq M$  and  $B \subseteq M$ , then  $Q_M(A, B) \Rightarrow Q_M(A', B)$ .



æ

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 

### EXAMPLES

Determiner	MON ↑	EXT (for $\langle 1 \rangle$ )	PER (for $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ )
All	+	-	-
No	-	-	-
Some	+	+	+
At least n	+	+	+
At most n	-	+	-
Exactly n	-	+	-

#### TABLE: Quantifiers and their properties



æ

Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 

## **MONOTONICITY & LINGUISTICS**

- Does monotonicity influence NL comprehension?
- Does monotonicity influence NL learning?
- Monotonicity and inference patterns (B. Geurts).
- Proposal: focus on persistence.



# PLAN



• Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1,1\rangle$ 

# **3** COMPUTATIONAL EPISTEMOLOGY

- IDENTIFIABILITY
- **5** GENERAL QUESTION



크

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

# LOGIC OF RELIABLE INQUIRY - KEVIN KELLY

- Inspired by learning theory.
- Similar framework.
- Verification/falsification in computational setting.



# LOGIC OF RELIABLE INQUIRY - KEVIN KELLY

- $\varepsilon$  infinite string of data;
- $\varepsilon | n$  finite initial segment of  $\varepsilon$  through the position n 1;
  - h hypothesis;
  - C correctness relation;
- $C(\varepsilon, h)$  iff h is correct w.r.t.  $\varepsilon$ ;
  - $\alpha$  an assessment method;

OUT conjectures 1, 0, !.



### CERTAINTY IN RELIABLE INQUIRY

#### DEFINITION

 $\alpha$  produces *b* with certainty on (*h*,  $\varepsilon$ ) iff there is an *n* s.t.:

•  $\alpha(h, \varepsilon | n) = !$ , and

2 
$$\alpha(h, \varepsilon | n + 1) = b$$
, and

• for each m < n,  $\alpha(h, \varepsilon | m) \neq !$ .



э

ヘロト 人間 ト 人 ヨ ト 人 ヨ ト

## CERTAINTY IN RELIABLE INQUIRY

#### DEFINITION

 $\alpha$  verifies *h* with certainty on  $\varepsilon$  (with respect to *C*) iff  $\alpha$  produces 1 with certainty on  $(h, \varepsilon) \Leftrightarrow C(\varepsilon, h)$ .

#### DEFINITION

 $\alpha$  refutes *h* with certainty on  $\varepsilon$  (with respect to *C*) iff  $\alpha$  produces 0 with certainty on  $(h, \varepsilon) \Leftrightarrow \neg C(\varepsilon, h)$ .

#### DEFINITION

Decidability with certainty is simply verifiability and refutability with certainty at the same time.



э



- At least six bikes are broken. Verifiable with certainty
- An even number of bikes is broken. Verifiable in the limit



э

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# Epistemological properties of Q o.t. $\langle 1 \rangle$

- 1 1 enumeration of elements of the universe.
- Assignment of  $\chi_A$  to each of them.
- Infinite sequence of 0s and 1s.
- In each step checking if finite initial segment satisfies a hypothesis (quantifier sentence).



・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# Epistemological properties of Q o.t. $\langle 1 \rangle$

#### PROPOSITION

Let Q be FO quantifier of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ . Q is MON  $\uparrow$  and EXT iff it is verifiable with certainty.

#### PROPOSITION

Let Q be FO quantifier of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$ .  $\neg Q$  is verifiable with certainty iff Q is falsifiable with certainty.



# Epistemological properties of Q o.t. (1, 1)

#### PROPOSITION

Let Q be FO CE quantifier of type (1, 1). Q is persistent iff it is verifiable with certainty.

#### PROPOSITION

Let Q be FO CE quantifier of type (1, 1).  $\neg$ Q is falsifiable with certainty iff Q is verifiable with certainty.



## EXAMPLES

Determiner	verifiable	falsifiable	MON ↑	EXT (for $\langle 1 \rangle$ )	PER (for $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ )
All	-	+	+	-	-
No	-	+	-	-	-
Some	+	-	+	+	+
At least n	+	-	+	+	+
At most n	-	+	-	+	-
Exactly n	-	-	-	+	-

#### TABLE: Quantifiers and their properties



æ

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

# PLAN



### 2 QUANTIFIERS

- Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1 \rangle$
- Quantifiers of type  $\langle 1,1\rangle$
- **3** Computational Epistemology

# IDENTIFIABILITY

**GENERAL QUESTION** 



æ

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

### **IDENTIFIABILITY GAME**

- Class of objects is chosen (e.g. class of grammars).
- Player 1 picks out one object from the class (e.g. G).
- Player 1 generates positive instances of this object, repetitions allowed (e.g. words from a language of G).
- Player 2 knows about the class, but he does not know which object is chosen.
- Player 2 has to guess which object Player 1 has in mind.



#### LEARNING THE SEMANTICS OF NATURAL LANGUAGE Identifiability from text in use

- Class of quantifiers is chosen.
- Player 1 picks one of them (Q)
- Player 2 is presented finite worlds in which Q is true.
- Player 2 has to identify Q.



### NUMBER TRIANGLE REPRESENTATION

. . .

Assuming CE, we can represent all relevant models in the form of number triangle.

(0,0)  $(1,0) \quad (0,1)$   $(2,0) \quad (1,1) \quad (0,2)$   $(3,0) \quad (2,1) \quad (1,2) \quad (0,3)$   $(4,0) \quad (3,1) \quad (2,2) \quad (1,3) \quad (0,4)$ 



æ

. . .

## NUMBER TRIANGLE REPRESENTATION

- Graphic representation of a class of CE quantifiers.
- In particular: PER.





## NUMBER TRIANGLE REPRESENTATION

- Graphic representation of a class of CE quantifiers.
- In particular: PER.





< 172 ▶

. . . . . . . .

### NUMBER TRIANGLE REPRESENTATION

- Graphic representation of a class of CE quantifiers.
- In particular: PER.





< 172 ▶

. . . . . . . .

### NUMBER TRIANGLE REPRESENTATION

- Graphic representation of a class of CE quantifiers.
- In particular: PER.





## TIEDE'S RESULT

#### THEOREM

#### The class of FO PER Q is identifiable from text.



æ

# PLAN







크

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

#### GENERAL QUESTION Relation between Ver/Fal hierarchy and identifiability



Nina Gierasimczuk

#### GENERAL QUESTION Relation between Ver/Fal hierarchy and identifiability



Nina Gierasimczuk

#### GENERAL QUESTION Relation between Ver/Fal hierarchy and identifiability



Nina Gierasimczuk

#### GENERAL QUESTION Relation between Ver/Fal hierarchy and identifiability



Nina Gierasimczuk

#### GENERAL QUESTION Relation between Ver/Fal hierarchy and identifiability



Nina Gierasimczuk

#### GENERAL QUESTION Relation between Ver/Fal hierarchy and identifiability



Nina Gierasimczuk

#### GENERAL QUESTION Relation between Ver/Fal hierarchy and identifiability



Nina Gierasimczuk

#### **GENERAL QUESTION** RELATION BETWEEN VER/FAL HIERARCHY AND IDENTIFIABILITY



#### Identifiability

certain id from informant



Nina Gierasimczuk

#### GENERAL QUESTION Relation between Ver/Fal hierarchy and identifiability



Nina Gierasimczuk

#### GENERAL QUESTION Relation between Ver/Fal hierarchy and identifiability



Nina Gierasimczuk

#### GENERAL QUESTION Relation between Ver/Fal hierarchy and identifiability



### **CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK**

- Epistemological role of monotonicity additional explanation.
- Verification less difficult than falsification?
- Check connections between persistence and comprehension.
- Investigate relationship between identifiability and decidability: learning of NL semantics; new conditions of identifiability.



・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# TH∀NK YOU!



æ

Nina Gierasimczuk Identification through Inductive Verification

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト